



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/202,107	03/08/1999	EIJI MURAMATSU	9319S-000082	2484

7590 12/12/2001
HARNES DICKY & PIERCE
PO BOX 828
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, DUNG T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2871

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/202,107

Applicant(s)
Muramatsu

Examiner
Dung Nguyen

Group Art Unit
2871



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Dung Nguyen (3) _____
(2) Timothy D. MacIntyre (4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 6, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 5, and 21

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative has provided a proposed claims 1, 5 and 21. Such proposed would be entered upon the timely of submission of a request for continued examination or continued prosecution application with the explanation to show the limitation of "the liquid crystal" is distinct from "the liquid crystal display device" as set forth in such claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

William L. Sikes
William L. Sikes
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.